



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Developing Pupils' Performance in Team Invasion Games

Citation for published version:

Gray, S & Sproule, J 2011, 'Developing Pupils' Performance in Team Invasion Games', *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 15-32. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17408980903535792>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1080/17408980903535792](https://doi.org/10.1080/17408980903535792)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy

Publisher Rights Statement:

© Gray, S., & Sproule, J. (2011). Developing Pupils' Performance in Team Invasion Games. *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy*, 16(1), 15-32 doi: 10.1080/17408980903535792

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

1 **Developing pupils' performance in team invasion games**

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 Shirley Gray* & John Sproule

22 University of Edinburgh

23 UK

24

25 *Address for Correspondence: Moray House School of Education, University of

26 Edinburgh, St Leonard's Land, Holyrood Road, Edinburgh, EH8 8AQ.

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

27 Email: shirley.gray@education.ed.ac.uk

28

29

30 **Abstract**

31 *Background:* To develop pupils' team invasion games (TIG) performance within PE,
32 practitioners have traditionally adopted teacher-centred, skill-focused approaches
33 (Williams and Hodges, 2005). Teaching Games for Understanding (Bunker and
34 Thorpe, 1982) and the Tactical approach (Griffin, Mitchel and Oslin, 1997) are
35 alternative approaches to TIG teaching that aim to develop overall game
36 performance, including decision-making performance.

37 *Purpose:* The main purpose of this study was to conduct an ecologically valid
38 investigation into the effects a tactical teaching approach had on game knowledge,
39 game playing performance and pupil perception of decision-making ability compared
40 to authentic teaching in a Scottish secondary school.

41 *Participants and setting:* Fifty-two pupils (24 female; 28 male; age = 12.5 ± 0.3 yrs)
42 participated in this study. The pupils were made up of two secondary 1 (S1) classes
43 from a Scottish urban state secondary school. Both classes were heterogeneous in
44 terms of gender and ability and the pupils from each class had similar pre-secondary
45 school PE experiences. Two teachers, Lisa and Anthony took part in this study. Both
46 Lisa (age=23yrs) and Anthony (age=27yrs) had taught in the school for one year.

47 *Intervention:* The overall aim for both teachers was to develop the pupils'
48 performance in a game of 4v4 basketball over a 5-week block. The teaching

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

49 strategies Lisa used to reach this aim were based on the Tactical approach to teaching
50 games (Griffin, Mitchell and Oslin, 1997). Using pupil-centred teaching strategies
51 such as problem-solving, discussing and reflecting, Lisa's aims were to develop the
52 pupils' tactical understanding and game performance in relation creating space on
53 and off-the-ball to keep possession and progress towards target, re-gaining
54 possession and counter attack, and denying space in the key area. Anthony followed
55 the PE department's guidelines for teaching basketball to improve the pupils'
56 performance in 4v4 basketball. This involved the application of direct teaching
57 strategies to teach the chest pass, the bounce pass, dribbling, the set shot, the jump
58 shot and the lay-up.

59 *Data collection:* Data from focus group interviews was gathered in order to elicit
60 pupils' knowledge and experiences of learning to play TIG. The pupils were
61 recorded on video before and after the intervention to determine any differences in
62 game playing performance between groups. Finally, a questionnaire was
63 administered in order to establish the pupils' perception of their own decision-
64 making abilities both on and off-the-ball.

65 *Data analysis:* The focus group interview data were analysed by two experienced
66 researchers who identified key experiences (or bodies of knowledge) about
67 basketball that the pupils in each group discussed. In order to analyse the
68 participants' game performance in offence both on and off-the-ball, their tactical
69 decisions were coded as 'good' or 'poor', and their on-the-ball skill execution was
70 coded as either 'successful' or 'unsuccessful'. The questionnaire data were analysed

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

71 using a mixed design two-way repeated measures ANOVA to test for differences
72 between the two groups in their perception of decision-making ability.
73 *Findings:* During the focus group interviews, the group that took part in the
74 traditional, more skill-focused, lessons discussed the technical components of
75 basketball skills, and the pupils from the game-based lessons discussed the different
76 principles of play that they applied during practices and games. The 4v4 game
77 performance data demonstrated that the game-based group made significantly more
78 good decisions on and off-the-ball compared to the skill-focused group. There were
79 no significant differences between groups post intervention in terms of on-the-ball
80 skill execution. The pupils in the game-based group believed that their decision-
81 making abilities both on and off the ball had improved. In contrast, the class that took
82 part in the skill-focused lessons believed that their decision-making abilities had
83 deteriorated over the five-week period.
84 *Conclusion:* The findings from this research provide valuable information in relation
85 to the learning outcomes produced by different teaching approaches during an
86 investigation high in ecological validity. In order to further our understanding
87 teaching TIG, future research should examine the teaching and learning *processes*
88 involved when adopting such approaches.

89

90 Keywords: Game-based; Skill-focused; Decision-making; Off-the-ball; On-the-ball.

91

92

93

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107 **Introduction**

108 Team invasion games (TIG) such as hockey, rugby and soccer play a very important,
109 and prominent role within the Scottish Physical Education (PE) curriculum. Not only
110 do they have a key role to play from a historical and cultural perspective (Bairner,
111 2000; Massie, 2000), but also from the point of view that successful performance in
112 TIG requires the development of important cognitive, affective and psychomotor
113 skills (Mandigo and Holt, 2004). Consequently, improving pupil performance in TIG
114 should be one of the main aims of teaching in PE. Additionally, improvements in
115 performance can lead to improvements in perception of competence, which is
116 particularly important because those pupils high in perception of competence are
117 more likely to apply more effort to learning, and continue to participate in PE and
118 physical activity (Deci and Ryan, 2000; Papaioannou et al., 2006).

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

119

120 In order to develop pupils' TIG performance within PE, they have traditionally been
121 taught using a teacher-centred, skill-focused approach (Williams and Hodges, 2005).
122 The aim of this approach is to provide pupils with the opportunity to develop and
123 refine skills in isolation from the game before attempting to apply them in game
124 contexts. The skills are usually practiced in a 'drill' format so that the pupils can
125 focus on key technical components of the skill in order to replicate a model
126 performance provided to them by the teacher (Williams and Hodges, 2005). The
127 teacher facilitates this process by providing the pupils with feedback linked to
128 predetermined technical components of the skill. One of the problems with this
129 traditional approach to TIG teaching is that when the skills are presented in isolation
130 from the game context, pupils do not develop an understanding of the situations
131 during the game that necessitate the application of such skills, in other words, they
132 do not develop decision-making skills.

133

134 The decisions that have to be made about the most appropriate skill to execute, and
135 how to execute it, are cognitive in nature. They are based on the players' knowledge
136 of the game, its objectives, principles, and the tactics associated with the game and
137 the team (Vaeyens et al., 2007; Williams and Davids, 1995). Grehaigne, Richard and
138 Griffin (2005) identified three critical elements in relation to developing game
139 knowledge and performance, namely observation, critical thinking and
140 transformation. They describe how pupils develop their game knowledge firstly by

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

141 making their own observations about the game or the learning environment. This
142 provides them with data upon which they can reflect. Such reflection involves critical
143 thinking, where they apply various processes such as planning, strategy and/or
144 evaluative reasoning in order to make a decision about the task at hand. Satisfactory
145 decisions, and the reutilisation of satisfactory decisions, results in transformation,
146 whereby new knowledge is learned and able to be adapted and applied to new
147 situations to solve new problems.

148

149 From a TIG perspective, skills such as observing, reflecting and applying, are
150 indicative of teaching approaches such as Teaching Games for Understanding
151 (TGfU) (Bunker and Thorpe, 1982) and the Tactical approach (Griffin, Mitchell and
152 Oslin, 1997). Although these approaches differ slightly in terms of the way teaching
153 is structured, the aim of both methods is to develop game understanding through
154 tactical awareness and game appreciation. The role of the teacher is to select the
155 tactical problem that has to be addressed and the learner works out the most
156 appropriate game specific motor skills required to solve the problem. These skills are
157 developed through question, answer and active participation in the game or game-
158 like activity. The teacher has a less direct role in the learning process and pupils are
159 given more responsibility for their own learning. Kirk and MacPhail (2002) claim
160 that learning in this type of environment enables pupils to develop 'clusters of
161 capabilities', for example perceptual, strategic and technical capabilities that are
162 required to be successful during games play. Teaching approaches such as TGfU and

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

163 the Tactical approach aim to facilitate the development of such capabilities by
164 encouraging pupils to work within small teams or groups so that they can collectively
165 and publicly share ideas and solve authentic problems. Such verbalisation of ideas
166 (before, during and after task performance) is very important because it can enhance
167 pupils' game knowledge, and result in improved efficiency in performing tasks
168 (Caverni, 1988; Schunk, 1986).

169

170 This reflects both a constructivist and a situated perspective on learning, both of
171 which emphasise the interactions of the learner within the environment in the
172 construction of knowledge. In highlighting this more multifaceted view of learning,
173 Light (2008) describes 'complexity theory', based on the premise that, although there
174 are different types of constructivism, they all revolve around three key tenets. Firstly,
175 that learning is an ongoing process of adaptation shaped by the learner's experiences.
176 Secondly, that cognition is both an individual process and a social process, and
177 finally, it rejects objectivist views of learning that knowledge is an internal
178 representation of an external reality and accepts that 'learning involves interpretation
179 in which there is no pre-given external reality' (p. 28). Both constructivism and
180 situated learning theories have been used to explain the processes that underpin TIG
181 performance in PE. However, although they provide a useful explanation of how
182 game knowledge is acquired, they do not provide adequate information in terms of
183 how functional *movements* emerge during performance. Chow et al. (2007) refer to
184 constraints theory to explain how TGfU lessons can facilitate improvements in game

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

185 performance. Like constructivism and situated learning, this theory supports the
186 notion that human intentions are 'embodied' and constrained by a number of factors
187 including mind, body, social and biological contexts (Davids et al., 2008). However,
188 constraints theory also proposes that movements emerge from the interaction of these
189 constraints with the task (the equipment, rules and boundaries of the game) and the
190 environment (the surface, weather, light). When teachers modify games (manipulate
191 task constraints), set problem-solving tasks and apply questioning techniques, pupils
192 explore a variety of movement solutions within authentic contexts and, as a result,
193 goal-related, decision-making behaviours emerge without the need for prescriptive
194 instructions (Chow et al., 2007).

195

196 Both constructivist theories and constraints theory have been used to explain the
197 positive results that have emanated from TGfU-based research. Generally, such
198 research has found that learners developed better tactical knowledge, as well as
199 increased enjoyment and intrinsic motivation, when compared to more traditional
200 skill-based approaches (Allison and Thorpe, 1997; Jones and Farrow, 1999;
201 Rovegno, Nevett and Babiarz, 2001; Turner and Martinek, 1999). However, some of
202 the research that has investigated the effects of tactical approaches on players'
203 performance has provided equivocal results. This is partly because of the artificial
204 and inauthentic teaching methods that have been investigated (Chow et al., 2007), for
205 example, teaching only techniques then tactics or only tactics then techniques
206 (McMorris, 1998). There is a need, therefore, for more ecologically valid research

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

207 that integrates theory with practice to further enhance our understanding of games
208 teaching approaches within PE.

209

210 Consequently, the main purpose of this study was to investigate the effects a game-
211 based teaching approach had on game knowledge and performance of a group of
212 secondary 1 pupils (S1; ages 12-13) from a Scottish state secondary school. A
213 secondary aim of this study was to investigate the effects of this approach on the
214 pupils' perception of their decision-making ability.

215

216 **Method**

217 *Participants*

218 Fifty-two pupils (24 female; 28 male; age = 12.5 ± 0.3 yrs) participated in this quasi-
219 experimental study. The pupils in this study were made up of two secondary 1 (S1)
220 classes from a Scottish urban state secondary school. Both classes were
221 heterogeneous in terms of gender and ability and the pupils from each class had
222 similar pre-secondary school PE experiences. Twenty seven pupils took part in the
223 'game-based' lessons (11 female; 16 male; age = 12.5 ± 0.3 yrs) and twenty five
224 pupils took part in the 'skill-focused' lessons (12 female; 13 male; age = $12.5 \pm$
225 0.2 yrs).

226

227 Two teachers, Lisa and Anthony took part in this study. Both Lisa (age=23yrs) and
228 Anthony (age=27yrs) had taught in the school for one year. This was Lisa's second

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

229 year and Anthony's first year teaching as qualified teachers. Both teachers had a
230 background in coaching and playing soccer and attended the same Edinburgh based
231 Initial Teacher Education Institution.

232

233 Permission to survey, interview and video the pupils was obtained from the Head
234 Teacher and all of the pupils provided informed parent/guardian consent to take part
235 in this study. Informed consent to take part in this study was also obtained from both
236 of the teachers, and pseudonyms were used in order to ensure teacher anonymity. All
237 of the participants were told that their involvement was voluntary, that they were free
238 to withdraw at any time and were assured that their responses would remain
239 confidential. The study protocols were approved by the Ethics Committee of the
240 University of Edinburgh, Scotland.

241

242 *Setting*

243 The urban state school in this study was selected because 96% of pupils in Scotland
244 attend state schools and the greatest proportion of pupils in Scotland attend schools
245 in 'large urban areas' such as Edinburgh (36%). Smaller proportions of pupils attend
246 schools in 'other urban areas' (32%), 'accessible urban areas' (12%), 'small rural
247 towns' (4%), 'accessible rural areas' (11%) and 'remote rural areas' (5%) (Scottish
248 Executive, 2007). Moreover, the pupils in this school were representative of all
249 socio-economic levels and ethnicity (Scottish Executive, 2007). Additionally, the PE
250 content covered followed the 5 – 14 Expressive Arts Guidelines and the Standard

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

251 Grade curricula for Scottish primary and secondary schools (SOED, 1992; SEB,
252 1988). The activities taught during the academic year for S1 pupils comprised of
253 dance, gymnastics and games, including the TIG soccer, rugby, hockey and
254 basketball. The school offered a variety of extra curricular activities, although the
255 most popular activities were soccer, rugby and hockey.

256

257 *Intervention*

258 *Game-based approach*

259 Lisa taught a five-week block of basketball based on the Tactical approach to
260 teaching games (Griffin, Mitchell and Oslin, 1997). Lisa had some previous
261 experience of teaching in this way as an undergraduate PE student, where she
262 completed an eleven-hour module that focussed on games-based approaches to
263 teaching games. However, as this was Lisa's only experience, she was guided
264 through the planning stage of each lesson by the lead researcher who had seven years
265 experience teaching and researching tactical approaches to teaching games. The
266 overall aim of the five-week course was to develop the pupils' performance in a
267 game of 4v4 basketball. More specifically, the aim was to develop the pupils' ability
268 to create space for themselves and/or the ball carrier in order to move towards the
269 target and score. Thus, the tactical elements Lisa focused on were: creating space on
270 and off-the-ball to keep possession and progress towards target, re-gaining
271 possession and counter attack, and denying space in the key area.

272

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

273 The Tactical approach to teaching TIG emphasises tactical understanding and the
274 development of motor skills as a means of solving tactical problems within a game-
275 practice-game format. The teacher decides on the tactical problem that has to be
276 addressed and presents games and practices that both emphasise the specific tactical
277 problem, and maintain varying degrees of contextual relevance. In order to facilitate
278 the pupils' understanding of the problem, Lisa encouraged them to observe
279 demonstrations and posed open-ended questions. She also asked the members of
280 each team to share, apply and evaluate ideas until they agreed on the most effective
281 solutions to the problem. Importantly, she also encouraged the teams to search for
282 movement solutions to the problem. Thus, in attempting to satisfy the constraints of
283 the task (conditions of the game), goal directed behaviour emerges without the need
284 to provide explicit and prescriptive instructions. A summary of the first lesson of the
285 five-week block can be seen in appendix 1.

286

287 *Skill-focused approach*

288 This group was taught by Anthony, who delivered a five-week block of basketball
289 that followed the PE department's programme for teaching basketball. His overall
290 aim was the same as Lisa's, namely, to develop the pupils' performance in 4v4
291 games. Anthony intended to achieve this aim by teaching the chest pass, the bounce
292 pass, dribbling, the set shot, the jump shot and the lay-up. Anthony was not guided in
293 any way by the researcher. His objective was to use his own knowledge and beliefs

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

294 about teaching to deliver the programme set out by the PE department. A summary
295 of the first lesson of this five-week block can be seen in appendix 2.

296

297 In order to interpret and understand the teaching approaches used by both teachers,
298 the lead researcher observed each lesson. To support these observations, and ensure
299 face validity, the teachers were interviewed after each lesson to encourage them to
300 discuss and explain their teaching strategies. The results from this process form part
301 of a related study that aimed to further examine and understand their teaching
302 behaviours during each lesson (Gray, Sproule and Morgan, in press).

303

304 To enhance the ecological validity of this study, there were no changes made to the
305 pupils' pre-determined time-table, hence basketball was the focus activity and all of
306 the lessons took place once a week and lasted one hour and twenty minutes. The time
307 available for on-task activity was reduced to approximately 60 minutes to allow time
308 for changing and administrative duties. The S1 basketball blocks took place during
309 the term from January to March.

310

311 *Data Collection*

312 *Focus group interviews*

313 From the 52 participants involved in this study, a stratified random sample (Cohen,
314 Manion and Morrison, 2000) of eight pupils from each class (8 female; 8 male) took
315 part in focus group interviews. All of the interviews took place in a classroom free

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

316 from distraction. Due to the time constraints placed upon the pupils by their
317 curricular commitments, each focus group interview only lasted for approximately 20
318 minutes. The classrooms were set up so that the pupils sat in a semi-circle and desks
319 were removed in order to create a less formal environment. All interviews were
320 recorded using an audiocassette recorder and transcribed verbatim.

321

322 The aim of the focus group interviews was to encourage the pupils to discuss their
323 experiences when learning to play basketball during PE lessons and their knowledge
324 about the game of basketball (Kitzinger, 1995). The questions posed to the pupils
325 during the pre-intervention focus group interviews encouraged them to discuss their
326 experiences of learning to play basketball when they were in primary 7 (aged
327 between 10 and 11). The post-intervention focus group interviews encouraged the
328 pupils to discuss their learning experiences during the five-week block of basketball
329 (see Appendix 3 for guidelines). Probes were used where necessary in order to seek
330 elaboration and/or clarification on key issues raised by the pupils (Finn, Elliot-White
331 and Walton, 2000) and to ensure that all of the pupils contributed to the discussion.
332 Additionally, at the end of each question, the pupils' comments were summarised to
333 check for understanding and accuracy, and notes were taken to highlight the key
334 issues raised by the pupils.

335

336 *4V4 games performance*

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

337 In order to ascertain whether or not the pupils' playing performance had been
338 influenced as a result of the basketball lessons, eight pupils from each class were
339 recorded on video playing a ten-minute 4v4 basketball game, both the week before
340 and the week after the basketball block. The teams consisted of the same pupils from
341 the focus group interviews. The players in each team were the same for both games
342 and each team played against the same opposition. The only exception to this was the
343 post block game for the game-based group. On the day of filming, one of the boys
344 from the sample was absent and, as a result, the class teacher selected another boy
345 from the same class to take his place.

346 *The tactical skills inventory*

347 In order to gather information about any changes in the pupils' tactical knowledge,
348 all of the pupils (n=52) had to complete a modified questionnaire both before and
349 after the block of basketball. The modified questionnaire derived from the Tactical
350 Skills Inventory for Sport which has been previously been tested for internal
351 consistency, test-retest reliability and construct validity (Elferink-Gemser et al.
352 2004). This is a self-reporting, 13-item, inventory designed to measure an
353 individual's perception of his/her ability to perform the right action at the right time
354 (tactical skills). It is made up of a series of game situations, both on and off-the-ball,
355 in attack and in defence. The situations are sub-divided into four categories
356 including: knowing what to do when your team has the ball; knowing about other
357 players in the game; making decisions (with the ball and without the ball), and; being
358 ready and able to adapt when possession changes. The only change to the stem of the

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

questionnaire was the modification to relate to the game of basketball and it was organised using a structured alternative format (Harter, 1982). An example is shown below:

362

363 **Knowing what to do when your team has the ball.**

364

Really true for me	Sort of true for me		Or		Sort of true for me	Really true for me
	✓	I always know exactly when to pass to a team mate and when not to		I almost never know exactly when to pass to a team mate and when not to		

365

366

The purpose of the Tactical Skills Inventory was explained to the pupils and they were given five minutes to read the questionnaire instructions. They were reminded that the information they disclosed would be confidential and anonymous, and they were encouraged to be as honest as possible. All pupils were given as much time as they needed to complete the questionnaire and were supported whenever they required assistance. It took approximately 10 minutes for the pupils to complete the questionnaires.

374

375 **Data Analysis and Results**

376 *Focus Group Interviews*

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

377 The two researchers involved in the process of analysing the data generated by the
378 focus group interviews were both experienced PE teachers and used this experience,
379 knowledge and background to analyse the data in a responsive, adaptive and holistic
380 way (Maykut & Morehouse, 1994). The first stage of analysis involved reading and
381 re-reading the transcripts by the lead researcher, in order to become familiar with the
382 pupils' responses. The second phase of the analysis involved the identification of key
383 experiences or bodies of knowledge about basketball that the pupils in each group
384 discussed. Reference to the field notes that were taken during the interviews
385 supported this process. The third phase of this analysis involved the independent
386 identification of the pupils' experiences and knowledge by a second researcher.
387 Finally, both researchers discussed their findings in order to come to a consensus
388 about their interpretation of the pupils' responses and to ensure that all of the key
389 issues had been identified from the transcripts (Morgan, Sproule, et al. 2005; Sproule
390 et al., 2002).

391 *Skill-Focused Group: Pre-Intervention*

392 When asked to discuss the things they knew about the game of basketball, or the
393 things they remembered from their previous basketball experiences, the main areas
394 of discussion were the game skills, the practices they took part in to develop game
395 skills and the rules of the game:

396

397

398 *We did shooting practice at the basketball net and we all had different*
399 *positions. I remember shooting from different angles.* (Male pupil 1)

400

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

401 *If you're dribbling the ball and you hold it in your hand, both hands and*
402 *you start dribbling again, that's a double dribble. (Male pupil 4)*

403
404 One pupil remembered playing a conditioned game that aimed to develop their
405 ability to beat the defence to score:

406 *It was like five and five but it was more diamond shaped so you passed to*
407 *one person before you could make the other person move sort of game. It*
408 *was trying to get three people as a defence for one net and you had to get*
409 *your diamond past those three people to score. (Male pupil 3)*
410

411 Another pupil remembered playing in a mini tournament and described it in terms
412 of how the tournament was organised:

413

414 *I remember we used to get split into four teams and there used to be*
415 *different colours for each team. We used to play two games and then the*
416 *winner would play another team. (Female pupil 2)*
417

418 *Skill-Focused Group: Post-Intervention*

419 The focus of the pupils' discussion about what they had learned, or what they
420 remembered, from the five-week block of basketball was very similar to the
421 discussion they had during the pre-intervention focus group interview. They mainly
422 discussed how they had learned the skills of the game of basketball, although some
423 of the pupils did place these skills within a tactical context, for example:

424 *We learned different types of baskets that you could score, like lay-up and*
425 *we learned what to do when you are dribbling, so a defender can't take*
426 *your ball away. (Female pupil 1)*
427

428 One of the pupils talked about attacking and defending, but did so in a rather vague
429 and confused way:

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

430 *Well we learned like advanced techniques as well, we learned how to*
431 *defend rather than just always attacking. So we learned opposite as well*
432 *as normally... Well like if an attacker was coming up on the left, that if*
433 *there was a person next to them you can pass... like you have to mark one*
434 *of them and if you have got another person to mark them but also to keep*
435 *close to the hoop. (Male pupil 3)*
436

437 There was also some mention of the rules that they learned during the five-week
438 block:

439 *We learned some of the rules and simple rules, like double dribble, some*
440 *signals as well, and we also learned another rule which was like its non*
441 *contact sport so you can't hit them, you can only like hit the ball out their*
442 *hand if they have got one hand on the ball. (Male pupil 1)*
443

444 *Game-Based Group: Pre-Intervention*

445 Like the skill-focused group, the game-based group mainly discussed skills and
446 rules when asked to recall the things they learned, or remembered, from their
447 previous basketball experiences in primary school:

448 *Different ways of throwing it and passing and shooting, like the chest*
449 *pass and the bounce pass. (Male pupil 3)*
450

451
452 *You're not allowed to run with the ball in your hand, you've got to*
453 *bounce it or dribble it. (Female pupil 2)*
454

455

456

457 *Game-Based Group: Post-Intervention*

458 When asked to discuss the things that they had learned, or remembered from the
459 five-week block of basketball, the game-based group's response was quite different
460 from their pre-intervention discussion, and from the discussions held by the skill-

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

461 focused group. The main areas of discussion were related to the principles of play
462 that they had learned during the course. They highlighted the counter attack, zone
463 defence, width and possession, as well as some basic skills and rules. For example,
464 two pupils described zone defence in terms of:

465 *Well we get formation and then stop the other team getting near a basket*
466 *cause they get a better shot percentage from right under the basket. (Male*
467 *pupil 3)*
468

469 *you dinnae (don't) want them to win, so to avoid them from winning you*
470 *zone under the basket so they cant score kind of thing. (Male pupil 1)*
471

472 Two of the pupils also described how to penetrate a team's zone defence:

473

474 *Pass it to your wide players and just keep possession of the ball as long*
475 *as you can...The other team start coming out of their zone, and then*
476 *someone runs into their zone and then shoots. (Male pupils 3)*
477

478 *It gives you more space and it gives you time for other people to make a*
479 *run. (Female pupil 1)*
480

481 One of the girls in this group described, in a rather vague way, some of the basic
482 rules she had learned:

483

484 *Well the thing that we learned is how to like play, like the rules of the*
485 *basketball and it's like what to do... What to do and to learn what you can*
486 *do... Just basic stuff like the ball goes out it's the other teams ball, and*
487 *stuff like that. (Female pupil 2)*
488

489

490

491 ***4v4 Games Performance***

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

492 In order to assess the participants' game performance in offence both on and off-the-
493 ball, a modified coding procedure initially developed by Blomqvist, Vanttiinen and
494 Luhtanen (2005) was applied. This coding procedure was developed in order to
495 evaluate students' game performance (decision-making and skill execution) in
496 soccer. For the purpose of this study, the coding categories were modified to reflect
497 good or poor decisions on and off-the-ball in the game of basketball (Table 2). The
498 first part of the coding process involved dividing the games into decision-making
499 units (DMU). Each DMU began when the player had control of the ball and ended
500 when the ball reached its target (team-mate/basket), was intercepted or went out of
501 bounds. This was carried out using Game Breaker software
502 (www.sportecinternational.com) that was set up to include two seconds of play
503 leading into and following each DMU, thus providing some context for the analysis.
504 Game Breaker was a useful tool for analysing the data because each DMU could be
505 played back at various speeds and in different orders so that comparisons could be
506 made between any two or more DMUs. All of the pupils' tactical decisions during
507 each DMU were judged as 'good' or 'poor' according to the relevant coding
508 category. Additionally, on-the-ball skill execution during each DMU was coded as
509 either 'successful' or 'unsuccessful' (Table 3).

510

511 Insert table 1 here

512

513 Insert table 2 here

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

514

515

516 *Reliability.* Two researchers were involved in the coding process and both had
517 previous experience of analysing S1 pupils' basketball performances. The two
518 researchers watched the games on tape and discussed the coding categories devised
519 by Blomqvist, Vanttiinen and Luhtanen (2005) until they agreed on the interpretation
520 of each category in relation to the game of basketball. The games were then divided
521 into DMUs and together both researchers coded a sample of play for one of the teams
522 in each game, both pre and post intervention, for the first 12 DMUs (20% of all
523 DMUs). The next day, the main researcher re-coded the same sample.

524

525 Consistent with the procedures adopted by Blomqvist, Vanttiinen and Luhtanen
526 (2005), a percent agreement reliability test was used (number of agreements/number
527 of agreements + number of disagreements). For the skill-focused team that was
528 coded then re-coded, the agreement for decision-making was 94% both pre and post
529 intervention. The agreement for the game-based teams pre intervention was 92% and
530 94% post intervention. Following this procedure, the main researcher coded all of the
531 DMUs for each game. Finally, one week after all of the games had been coded, the
532 second researcher returned to re-code the first 12 DMUs for the same teams both pre
533 and post intervention. For both teams, this resulted in 94% agreement for the pre
534 intervention game and 92% for the post intervention game. For all of the games that

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

535 were coded and re-coded, inter-observer agreement was 100% for the on-the-ball
536 skill executions carried out within each DMU.

537

538 *Statistical analysis.* Pre-post performance for each group was compared by repeated
539 measures ANOVA. Where appropriate post hoc pupil t-tests (paired test for within
540 group differences and independent test for between groups) with the Bonferroni
541 correction factor were used. Effect sizes for the ANOVAs were calculated using the
542 η^2 method and for t-tests by Cohen's d. Sphericity was measured by Mauchly's test
543 and where appropriate the Huyn-Feldt Epsilon correction factor applied.

544

545 There were no significant differences between groups for decision-making on and
546 off-the-ball pre-intervention (Table 4). For the on-the-ball 'good' DMU dependent
547 variable there were significant differences ($F(1,14) = 5.09, p < 0.05, \eta^2 = 0.27$) post
548 intervention, with the game-based group making better decisions (Table 5). For good
549 support (off-the-ball 'good') there were no significant differences between groups in
550 the pre-test but the game-based group performed significantly better in the post-test
551 ($t(14) = 8.23, p < 0.001, d = 4.12$) (Table 5). The game-based group also
552 demonstrated a significant pre-post difference ($t(7) 5.74, p < 0.001, d = 2.85$) for
553 good support (off-the-ball 'good'). Application of the Bonferroni correction factor
554 meant that the probability for significance in the post hoc pupil t-tests would be
555 0.013. There were no significant differences pre-post for the skill-focused group for
556 good support.

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

557

558

Insert table 3 here

559

560

Insert table 4 here

561

562

563 There were no significant differences either between or within groups for skill
564 execution pre to post intervention. However, the game-based group increased their
565 successful skill execution by 38%, compared to only 10% of an increase for the skill-
566 focused group (Table 6).

567

568

Insert table 5 here

569

570 *The Tactical Skills Inventory*

571 A mixed design two-way repeated measures ANOVA was used to test for differences
572 between the game-based and skill-focused groups in the dependent variable of
573 tactical knowledge. Results reveal a significant interaction effect between groups
574 (skill-focused versus game-based) in tactical knowledge (Wilks's Lambda = .88, F
575 (1,50) = 6.61, $p = .01$, $\eta^2 = .11$, observed power = .71). A lower score indicates a
576 greater perception of tactical knowledge, therefore differences in the pre-post
577 intervention means (Table 1) revealed that the game-based group believed that they
578 had greater tactical knowledge as a result of the intervention programme.

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

579

580

Insert table 6 here

581 **Discussion**

582 *Game knowledge*

583 We found no differences between the two classes in terms of the ways in which they
584 verbally articulated their knowledge about the game of basketball before the five-
585 week block. However, when asked to discuss what they had learned (or what they
586 knew) about the game of basketball after each block, the topics of discussion were
587 quite different for each group. The group that took part in the skill-focused lessons
588 discussed the technical components of basketball skills and made very few references
589 to the tactical contexts in which the skills are applied. In contrast, the pupils from the
590 game-based lessons discussed the different principles of play that they applied during
591 practices and games that enabled them, and their team, to reach their intended goals.
592 Wright and Forrest (2008) suggest that learners' should develop a language about
593 games, and that this takes place when the teacher poses open questions that
594 encourage debate, discussion and deliberation. This type of verbalisation during
595 learning is very important and can improve, not only the learners' language and
596 knowledge of the task, but also their performance in the task (Caverni, 1988; Schunk,
597 1986; Wright and Forrest, 2008)

598

599 Another possible influence on the different ways in which the pupils discussed their
600 basketball experiences was that, although both teachers had the same overall aim for

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

601 the five-week block, both the content that was delivered by each teacher and the
602 means of delivery by each teacher were quite different. In some ways, the results
603 reflect comments made by McMorris (1998) regarding previous 'skills versus tactics'
604 literature, that teaching tactics leads to better tactical understanding and teaching
605 techniques leads to improvements in technique. Importantly, the teaching in this
606 investigation was not skills only compared to tactics only. The skill-focused group
607 spent a large part of their time learning about skills and learning to perform skills,
608 but they were also presented with some opportunities to play games at different
609 stages of each lesson. However, these game opportunities do not appear to have
610 made an impact on the pupils' knowledge of the game beyond the execution of
611 basketball skills. By contrast, the pupils from the game-based class appear to have
612 developed a more sophisticated understanding/language about the game, even in a
613 relatively short period of time (five weeks). This may be because they were explicitly
614 engaged in problem-solving activities that encouraged them to draw upon prior
615 knowledge, and the knowledge of other pupils in their team, thus providing them
616 with opportunities to think critically, solve problems and construct new knowledge.
617 However, Grehaigne, Richard and Griffin (2005) claim that, not only should learning
618 imply interaction, cognition and construction, it should also imply plasticity. That is,
619 to infer learning, one must be establish the learners' capacity to apply new
620 knowledge in a number of different situations over a period of time. Unfortunately,
621 the time constraints imposed upon the present study made it difficult to determine if
622 this level of learning took place.

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

623

624 *Game performance*

625 The 4v4 game performance data shows that the game-based group made significantly
626 more good decisions on (p<0.05) and off-the-ball (p<0.001) compared to the skill-
627 focused group. These results highlight the importance of decision-making off-the-
628 ball since the most significant difference between the groups' performance was in
629 their decision-making off-the-ball. This finding has extremely important implications
630 for teaching, learning and assessing TIG within PE. Firstly, this evidence lends
631 support to the suggestion that pupils' overall game performance may be enhanced if
632 teachers facilitate the development of pupil knowledge of, and performance in,
633 situations and decisions that occur off-the-ball, even over a short period of time.
634 Grehaigne, Richard and Griffin (2005) described a similar experiment (The Avallon
635 Project) where performers in a tactical teaching group showed the greatest
636 improvements in performance compared to performers in a technical teaching group
637 in week six of a twelve-week intervention. It is very common for PE departments in
638 schools in Scotland to organise 'blocks' of activities that only last for five weeks, so
639 it is important that pupils experience some improvements in performance over a
640 short period of time. This may positively influence their perception of competence in
641 that activity, and in PE in general.

642

643 Secondly, the game performance results suggest that pupils need to be made more
644 aware of their performance off-the-ball, and the contributions that they can make to

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

645 the game off-the-ball. This may encourage pupils to distribute the ball more equally
646 (and appropriately) during game play, which could have a positive influence on their
647 involvement in the game, especially in classes of mixed ability. Such awareness
648 should also impact positively on the pupils' perception of competence in TIG, as
649 well as other affective factors such as enjoyment and motivation. Finally, teachers
650 should be aware that when they assess their pupils' performance in games, they
651 ought to take into account what their pupils are doing when they do not have the ball.
652 Assessing changes in performance both on and off-the-ball throughout a games block
653 will give a much more accurate account of any improvements made by the pupils,
654 and may also highlight improvements in performance for those pupils who are less
655 able at executing on-the-ball skills during the game.

656

657 In this investigation there were no significant differences between groups post
658 intervention in terms of on-the-ball skill execution. This finding may be explained by
659 the fact that the pupils throwing, catching and dribbling skills were already
660 developed to a level that would make any changes in performance difficult to detect.
661 Nevertheless, the mean scores did indicate a trend towards an increase in 'successful'
662 skill execution and a decrease in 'unsuccessful' skill execution post intervention for
663 both groups. Importantly, when these results are considered together with the game-
664 based group's significant improvements in decision-making on-the-ball post-
665 intervention, one could deduce that the game-based group's overall performance
666 improvements were greater than the skill-focused group. This is because game

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

specific skills have to be executed within decision-making contexts, thus facilitating the attainment of the player's (and the team's) game objectives. From a teaching perspective, this highlights the need to turn more attention towards the development of decision-making skills, especially since players make more tactical decisions during TIG than on-the-ball skill execution (Blomqvist, Vanttiinen and Luhtanen, 2005), and because players can take part in TIG even with low levels of skill (Bunker and Thorpe, 1982). Unfortunately, previous research has shown that the focus for teachers when teaching TIG is on developing pupil proficiency in executing game specific motor skills, often in the absence of authentic games contexts (Curtner-Smith, 1999; Curtner-Smith et al., 2001).

Perception of decision-making ability

In the present study, the pupils in the game-based group believed that their decision-making abilities both on and off-the-ball had improved as evidenced by their increased scores from the Tactical Skills Inventory (Table 6). In contrast, the skill-focused class believed that their decision-making abilities had deteriorated over the five-week period. This may be linked to differences in the pupils' knowledge about the game of basketball, but it may also be because Anthony predominately taught on-the-ball skills, yet the majority of the items in Tactical Skills Inventory were related to situations that occur off-the-ball. Blomqvist, Vanttiinen and Luhtanen (2005) suggested that if game performance is to be improved, then decision-making has to be taught explicitly, particularly decision-making *off-the-ball* because the

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

majority of a player's time is spent off-the-ball. Additionally, a player's effectiveness off-the-ball ultimately impacts on overall game performance and involvement, which has implications not only for improvement, but also for perception of competence. Indeed, in the present study, the pupils in the games-based group improved in their decision-making performance and had a higher perception of their decision-making competence, both of which can enhance important affective factors such as enjoyment and motivation (Papaionnou et al., 2006).

Conclusion

The findings from the present study show that when a pupil-centred, game-based approach was used to teach S1 basketball, pupils developed more sophisticated game knowledge/language, improved their game performance in 4v4 games and improved their perception of decision-making abilities. Although this type of information is extremely valuable in relation to understanding the effectiveness of different teaching approaches, they should also be considered with some reserve. The present study aimed to conduct ecologically valid research that attempted to integrate theory with practice. However, there are many difficulties with this type of research. For example, it is difficult to make claims about pupil learning after only five weeks of teaching. Unfortunately, the school in which the research was conducted organised their curriculum in five-week blocks. Ideally, to make more definitive claims about pupil learning, the intervention would have taken place over a longer period. However, the results demonstrate that constructivist approaches can elicit gains in

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

711 performance over a short period of time, and even though these gains may not be
712 permanent, they still yield some significance for two main reasons. Firstly, it has
713 been reported that teachers tend to use rote learning, behaviourist teaching strategies
714 because they believe that this is an efficient teaching method under the pressures of
715 time, particularly when they have exam deadlines to meet (Thorburn and Collins,
716 2006). Secondly, improvements in performance (whether short-term or permanent)
717 may have a positive effect on pupils' perception of competence, which is particularly
718 important because those pupils high in perception of competence are more likely to
719 apply more effort to learning, and continue to participate in PE and physical activity
720 (Deci and Ryan, 2000; Papaioannou et al., 2006).

721

722 **It is also important to recognise that there are problems with conducting**
723 **comparative research, particularly in relation to 'construct equivalence' and**
724 **'causality' (Mills et al., 2006).** For example, although there were many similarities
725 between the two teachers involved in this study, it would be wrong to suggest that it
726 was the way they designed and presented the tasks that was the sole influence on the
727 pupils' learning and performance outcomes. Future research in this area could address
728 this issue by adopting a crossover design. In this way, the two teachers would teach a
729 block of TIG using one of the two games teaching approaches (game-based or skill-
730 focused). After a period of time to allow any treatment effect to wash out, the
731 teachers would change their teaching approach to the one that they had not previously
732 used. Any effect of retesting (or anything that happened between the tests) could then

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

733 be subtracted out by an appropriate analysis (Hopkins, 2000). However, the
734 integration of theory with practice in a school setting is a major strength of the study
735 from which physical educators might learn valuable lessons.

736

737 Future research could also examine the teaching and learning *processes* involved
738 when adopting different approaches to teaching TIG. For example, what was it about
739 the teachers' behaviour that impacted on pupil learning when they adopted each
740 approach to teaching TIG? Also, how did pupils react to such teaching strategies?
741 Previous research that has examined teaching and learning processes in PE suggests
742 that when teachers adopt pupil-centred approaches to teaching, they exhibit more
743 mastery behaviours. For example, they set differentiated tasks and encourage pupils
744 to set self-referenced goals for improvement (Morgan, Kingston, et al. 2005). When
745 a mastery motivational climate is created in PE, pupils report higher levels of
746 enjoyment, perceptions of competence, intrinsic motivation and a positive attitude
747 towards PE (Morgan and Carpenter, 2002; Morgan, Kingston et al., 2005; Morgan et
748 al., 2006). What, therefore, is the relationship between teaching using a game-based
749 (or skill-focused) approach and motivational climate? How does this relationship
750 impact on the pupils' experiences in TIG lessons? Only by investigating the teaching
751 and learning process in more detail can we begin to fully understanding why game-
752 based, pupil-centred, problem solving approaches to games teaching approach seem
753 to have a positive influence on pupil learning and performance.

754

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

755

756

757

758 **References**

759

760 Allison, S. & Thorpe, R. (1997) A comparison of the effectiveness of two
761 approaches to teaching games within physical education: a skills approach v's
762 a games for understanding approach, *The British Journal of Education*,
763 Autumn, 9-13.

764

765 Bairner, A. (2000) Football, in: G. Jarvie & J. Burnett (Eds) *Sport, Scotland and the*
766 *Scots, Scotland* (East Lothian, Tuckwell Press).

767

768 Blomqvist, M., Vanttiinen, T. & Luhtanen, P. (2005) Assessment of secondary
769 school students' decision-making and game play ability in soccer, *Physical*
770 *Education and Sport Pedagogy*, 10 (2), 107-119.

771

772 Bunker, D. & Thorpe, R. (1982) A model for the teaching of games in secondary
773 schools, *Bulletin of Physical Education*, 18, 7-10.

774

775 Caverni, J.P. (1988) Verbalization as an Observable Source of Information
776 About Cognitive Process, in: J.P. Caverni, C. Bastien, P. Mendelsohn, & G.

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

- 777 Tiberghien (Eds.), *Cognitive Psychology: Models and Methods* (Grenoble
778 university Press).
779
- 780 Chow, J. Y., Davids, K., Button, C., Shuttleworth, R., Renshaw, I. & Araújo, D.
781 (2007) The role of nonlinear pedagogy in Physical Education, *Review of*
782 *Educational Research*, 77 (3), 251-278.
783
- 784 Cohen, L., Manion, L. & Morrison, K. (2000) *Research Methods in Education* (New
785 York: Routledge).
786
- 787 Curtner-Smith, M. D. (1999) The more things change the more they stay the same:
788 factors influencing teachers' interpretations and delivery of National
789 Curriculum Physical Education, *Sport, Education and Society*, 4 (1), 75-97.
790
- 791 Curtner-Smith, M. D., Todorovich, J. R., McCaughtry, N. R. & Lacon, S. A. (2001)
792 Urban teachers' use of productive and reproductive teaching styles within the
793 confines of the National Curriculum for Physical Education, *European*
794 *Physical Education Review*, 7 (2), 177–190.
795
- 796 Davids, K., Button, C. and Bennett, S. (2008) *Dynamics of Skill Acquisition: A*
797 *Constraints-led Approach*, Champaign, Ill: Human Kinetics.
798

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

799

800 Deci, E. L. & Ryan, R. M. (2000) Self-determination theory and the facilitation of
801 intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being, *American*
802 *Psychologist*, 55 (1), 68-78.

803

804 Elferink-Gemser, M. T., Visscher, C., Richart, H. & Lemmink K. A. P. M. (2004)
805 Development of the tactical skills inventory for sports, *Perceptual Motor*
806 *Skills*, 99, 883-895.

807

808 Finn, M., Elliot-White, M. & Walton, M. (2000) *Tourism and Leisure Research*
809 *Methods; Data Collection, Analysis and Interpretation* (UK, Pearson
810 Education Limited).

811

812 Gray, S., Sproule, J & Morgan, K. (in press) Teaching Team Invasion Games and
813 Motivational Climate, *European Physical Education Review*.

814

815 Gréhaigne, J-F., Richard, J-F. & Griffin, L. L. (2005) *Teaching and Learning Team*
816 *Sports and Games* (New York: Taylor and Francis).

817

818 Griffin, L. L., Mitchell, S. A. & Oslin, J. L. (1997) *Teaching Sport Concepts and*
819 *Skills* (Champaign, IL, Human Kinetics).

820

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

- 821 Harter, S. (1982) The perceived competence scale for children, *Child Development*,
822 53, 87-97.
823
- 824 Hopkins, W. G (2000) Quantitative Research Design, *Sportscience*, 4 (1),
825 sportsci.org/jour/0001/wghdesign.html.
826
- 827 Jones, C. & Farrow, D. (1999) The transfer of strategic knowledge: a test of the
828 games classification curriculum model, *The Bulletin of Physical Education*,
829 35 (2), 103-124.
830
- 831 Kirk, D. & MacPhail, A. (2002) Teaching games for understanding and situated
832 learning: re-thinking the Bunker-Thorpe model, *Journal of Teaching in*
833 *Physical Education*, 21 (4) 177-192.
834
- 835 Kitzinger, J. (1995) Qualitative research: introducing focus groups, *British Medical*
836 *Journal*, 311, 299-302
837
- 838 Light, R. (2008) Complex learning theory-its epistemology and its assumptions about
839 learning: implications for physical education, *Journal of Teaching in Physical*
840 *Education*, 27, 21-37.
841

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

- 842 Mandigo, J. L. & Holt, N. L. (2004) Reading the game: introducing the notion of
843 games literacy, *Physical and Health Education Canada*, 4-10.
844
- 845 Massie, A. (2000) Rugby, in: G. Jarvie & J. Burnett (Eds.) *Sport, Scotland and the*
846 *Scots, Scotland* (East Lothian, Tuckwell Press).
847
- 848 Maykut, P. and Morehouse, R. (1994) *Beginning Qualitative Research: A*
849 *Philosophic and Practical Guide* (London: Falmer Press).
850
- 851 McMorris, T. (1998) Teaching games for understanding: it contributions to the
852 knowledge of skill acquisition from a motor learning perspective, *European*
853 *Journal of Physical Education*, 3, 65–74.
854
- 855 **Mills, M., van de Bunt, G. G. & de Bruijn, J. (2006) Comparative research:**
856 **persistent problems and promising solutions, *International Sociology*, 21**
857 **(5), 619-631.**
858
- 859 Morgan, K. & Carpenter, P. J. (2002) The effects of manipulating the motivational
860 climate in physical education lessons, *European Physical Education Review*,
861 8 (3), 207-229.
862

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

- 863 Morgan, K., Kingston, K. & Sproule, J. (2005) The effects of different teaching
864 styles, on the teacher behaviours that influence motivational climate in
865 Physical Education, *European Physical Education Review*. 11 (3), 257-286.
866
- 867 Morgan, K., Sproule, J., Carpenter, P. & Weigand, D. (2005) A computer-based
868 observational assessment of the teaching behaviours that influence
869 motivational climate in Physical Education, *Physical Education and Sport
870 Pedagogy*, 10 (1), 113-135.
871
- 872 Morgan, K., Sproule, J., McNeal, M., Kingston, K. & Wang, J. (2006) A cross-
873 cultural study of motivational climate in Physical Education lessons in the
874 UK and Singapore, *International Journal of Sport Psychology*, 37(4), 299-
875 316.
876
- 877 Papaioannou, A., Bebetos, E., Theodorakis, Y., Christodoulidis, T. & Kouli, O.
878 (2006) Causal relationships of sport and exercise involvement with goal
879 orientations, perceived competence and intrinsic motivation in Physical
880 Education: A longitudinal study, *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 24 (4), 367-382.
881
- 882 Rovegno, I., Nevett, M. & Babiarz, M. (2001) Learning and teaching invasion game
883 tactics in 4th grade: introduction and theoretical perspective, *Journal of
884 Teaching in Physical Education*, 20, 299-300.

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

885

886 Schunk, D. H. (1986) Verbalisation and children's self-regulated learning,
887 *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 11, 347-369.

888

889 Scottish Examination Board (1988) *Arrangements in Standard Grade Physical*
890 *Education*.

891

892 Scottish Examination Board (1993) *Arrangements in Physical Education: Scottish*
893 *Certificate of Education Higher Grade*.

894

895 Scottish Executive (2007) *Statistical Bulletin: Education Series*, Scottish Executive
896 National Statistics Publication.

897

898 Scottish Office Education Department (1992) *Expressive Arts Guideliness 5-14*.

899

900 Sproule, J., Kinchin, G., Yelling, M., McMorris, T. & McNeill, C., (2002) Using
901 technology to analyse teaching behaviours within initial teacher training,
902 *European Journal of Physical Education*, 7, 123-135.

903 Thorburn, M. and Collins, D. (2006) The effects of an integrated curriculum model
904 on student learning and attainment, *European Physical Education Review*, 12
905 (1), 31-50.

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

906

907 Turner, A. P. & Martinek, T. J. (1999) An investigation into teaching games for
908 understanding: effect on skill, knowledge, and game play, *Research*
909 *Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, 70, 286-296.

910

911 Vaeyens, R., Lenoir, M., Williams, M. & Renaat M. P. (2007) Mechanisms
912 underpinning successful decision making in skilled youth soccer players: an
913 analysis of visual search behaviours, *Journal of Motor Behaviour*, 39 (5),
914 395-408.

915

916 Williams, A. M. & Hodges, N. J. (2005) Practice, instruction and skill acquisition:
917 Challenging tradition, *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 23 (6), 637-650.

918

919 Williams, M. & Davids, K. (1995) Declarative Knowledge in Sport: A By-Product of
920 Experience or a Characteristic of Expertise?, *Journal of Sport and Exercise*
921 *Psychology*, 17, 259-275.

922

923 Wright, J. & Forrest, G. (2007) A social semiotic analysis of knowledge construction
924 and games centred approaches to teaching, *Physical Education and Sport*
925 *Pedagogy*, 12 (3), 273-287.

926

927

928

929

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961

Appendix 1: An outline of the lesson from week one of the game-based class.

Focus	Content	Content Objective
-------	---------	-------------------

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

Keep the ball and reach the target.	1. 3v3 basketball	Work out AS A TEAM -How do you reach the target AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE ? Why is this important?
	2. Pass and move in grid, on the whistle, move to the grid opposite as quickly as possible and shoot. 3. As above, defender can move into grid on the whistle. 4. As above, 3v1. Second defender introduced on whistle.	To develop the players ability to get the ball to the shooting zone and target as a team as quickly as possible. To encourage scanning, showing for the pass, receiving on the move and sending a catchable pass.
	5. 3v3 basketball	To encourage each team to determine effectiveness of working as a team, looking for team-mates, show for the pass, moving away from defenders – toward the target.

962
963
964
965
966

Appendix 2: An outline of the lesson from week one of the skill-focused class.

Focus	Content	Content Objective
To introduce and develop dribbling and passing skills.	1. With a ball each, find a space and begin to dribble anywhere in the hall. 2. Same task, use left hand and then the right hand. 3. Move around the hall and when you hear the whistle, do a jump stop. 4. Right hand then left hand dribbling only. 5. Dribble the ball and protect it with arm and body, change on the whistle. 6. Ball handling skills.	To move with ball whilst looking up to move into a space. To develop stopping ability - two feet, wide base, flex ankles and knees, ball in two hands.
	7. Passing in pairs.	To understand how and why you protect the ball when you are dribbling.
	8. 4v4 Benchball	No dribbling, pass to score.

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

967
968
969

Appendix 3: Focus Group Guidelines

Pre-Intervention

What primary school did you go to?	
What types of activities did you do in Primary 7 PE?	
Did you learn to play team invasion games such as football?	What, where, when?
Did you learn to play basketball?	
What you remember?	When, who, how often?
What did you learn?	
What do you remember about the things the teacher did during the lessons?	What do you know?
What do you remember about your performance?	Activities/tasks/games? Good/bad?

Post-Intervention

Can you describe some of the things you did during your basketball lessons?	Activities/tasks/games?
What were the main things you learned during this 5-week block?	What stands out/important?
What else do you now know about the game of basketball?	What does that mean?
What do you remember about your performance?	Can you explain further?
	What did you do during the game?

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

Table 1: Modified coding categories for decision-making

Decision	1=good	0=poor
On-the-ball	Pass to a team mate who is open. Holding the ball (no team mate open). Moving with the ball towards the target/appropriate space (according to the flow of the game/no team mate open). Good scoring attempt.	Pass to a covered team mate. Pass to a team mate too close or too far. Holding on to the ball (passing or shooting more appropriate). Moving with the ball (passing or shooting more appropriate/away from target or support resulting in isolation). Blocked shot or inappropriate distance.
Off-the-ball	Movement required by the flow of the game. No movement needed (already in space). Moving into a position to receive a pass (appropriate distance)	Inappropriate movement as required by the flow of the game. No movement when needed (standing covered, no purpose). Poor movement (too close, far or crowded, next to another team mate).
No decision	Situation happens too fast for player to react.	

Table 2: Modified coding categories for skill execution

Action	1=successful	0=unsuccessful
Passing	Own team mate maintains possession of the ball.	Opponent gains possession of the ball or the ball goes out of bounds.
Dribbling	Player maintains possession of the ball. Does not double dribble.	Player loses the ball when dribbling or the ball goes out of bounds.
Shot	Basket.	No basket.

Table 3: Mean (\pm SD) decision-making on and off-the-ball pre-intervention

	On-the-ball 'good'	On-the-ball 'poor'	Off-the-ball 'good'	Off-the-ball 'poor'
Skill-Focused	8.62 (3.62)	6.25 (4.83)	21.75 (6.9)	21 (9.56)

Please refer to the published article for citation purposes.

Game-Based	11.62 (5.53)	5 (4.56)	24.12 (5.08)	22 (14.15)
-------------------	--------------	----------	--------------	------------

Table 4: Mean (\pm SD) decision-making on and off-the-ball post-intervention

	On-the-ball 'good'	On-the-ball 'poor'	Off-the-ball 'good'	Off-the-ball 'poor'
Skill-Focused	9.25 (6.71)	3.75 (3.54)	17.87 (4.85)	20.37 (11.96)
Game-Based	17 (7.03)*	3.28 (2.12)	39.12 (5.46)***	19.5 (6.35)

* $p < 0.05$ and *** $p < 0.001$

Table 5: Mean (\pm SD) skill execution pre and post-intervention

	<u>Pre Intervention</u>		<u>Post Intervention</u>	
	Successful	Unsuccessful	Successful	Unsuccessful
Skill-Focused	10.0 (7.48)	9.25 (6.27)	11.0 (10.3)	4.75 (4.24)
Game-Based	13.75 (7.92)	8.12 (6.38)	19.0 (10.68)	6.62 (4.14)

Table 6: Means (standard deviation) for tactical knowledge

	<u>Pre-Intervention</u>		<u>Post-Intervention</u>	
	Skill-Focused (n25)	Game-Based (n27)	Skill-Focused (n25)	Game-Based (n27)
Tactical Knowledge	2.26 (0.40)	2.30 (0.49)	2.30 (0.41)	2.04 (0.55)**

** $p < 0.01$